Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, 26/08/2025

Trial Date: 3rd February 2026

An Email List to Do with The Second Assault Arrest

1) **26/08/2025:**-- **Arrested Again for Alleged Breach of GPS Tagging Bail Conditions and Fabricated Assault Charge**.
   - No caution was given, no interview conducted, and no evidence presented. Eight officers were involved to do with the reason for arrest, **"Alleged Breach of Tag."** This was excessive and unlawful and unnecessary as there was a failure between the court service provider Serco and the police and not of any fault of my own. The police at the Scene realised this and fabricated another police case that they started court proceedings for by alleging I assaulted a police officer by touching him on the shoulder as I turned away from him to head the other way and now this has become another wrongful arrest I am forced to suffer as an innocent person.
     * **26/08/2025:** 09. Nova Chat about Case2-26-08-25.pdf
     * **<DIR>** 09.1. Case2-26-08-25-Assult-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest

21 **28/08/2025:**-- **Legal Aid Follow-Up:**
   - **The Emai File Titled As***: "Received-28-08-2025.pdf,"* confirms that Tuckers Solicitor Firm was still chasing documents that I had already sent to obtain legal aid for **Case 2** of the **26/08/2025**, This supports my claim of repeated procedural mishandling and unnecessary delay.
     * **28/08/2025:** 10. Email Received-28-08-2025.pdf
     * **28/08/2025:** 10. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-28-08-2025.docx
     * **28/08/2025:** 10. Legal_Aid_Chasing_provision_of_proof_of_benefits-28-08-2025.pdf

New Month

23 **01/09/2025:**-- **"Legal Aid Granted for Case 2 Of The 26/08/2025."** Representation Order issued**:**
   - However, **"No Representation Order"** was ever provided for **Case 2 Of The 02/08/2025."**. The **"CPS Case Ratio"** file folder was incomplete — **"No Unused Material Disclosed, And No Case Ratio Folder was Provided,"** while over materials were disclosed and the files withheld prove my innocence!
     * **01/09/2025:** 13. Received-01-09-25.pdf
     * **01/09/2025:** 14. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf

* **01/09/2025:** 15. Received-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 16. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 17. Received-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 18. Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC-01-09-25.docx
* **01/09/2025:** 18. Let - Client Legal Aid Granted - Devolved Powers - RO MC through to CC-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 18. Received-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 19. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 20. Received Attachments-01-09-25.zip
* **01/09/2025:** 20. Received-01-09-25.pdf
* **<DIR>** 20.1. Original-CPS-Case-Archive (1) 01-09-25
* **01/09/2025:** 21. Received-01-09-25.pdf
* **<DIR>** 21.1. Received Attachments
* **01/09/2025:** 22. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 23. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 24. Email Sent-01-09-25.pdf
* **01/09/2025:** 25. Received --Not in File--Not in File Same as above-01-09-25.pdf

78. Received-01-12-25.pdf
78. Received-Cordell RO1.pdf
78. Received-Cordell RO2.pdf

82. Sent 05-12-25_Fraudulent Representation Order_RO1by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.docx
82. Sent 05-12-25_Fraudulent Representation Order_RO1by Tuckers Solicitor Firms Staff.pdf

On 26/08/2025: Eight Police Officers! **+ 28. Email-Sent-26-09-25 Case arrest 2 + 29. Email Received**

26/08/2025
09. Nova Chat about Case2-26-08-25.pdf
<DIR> 09.1. Case2-26-08-25-Assult-GPS-Wrongfull-Arrest

The Arrest That Wasn't an Investigation

- On **26/08/2025**, **eight police officers** arrived at my bail address. My father answered the door, but they **pushed past him aggressively**, ignoring his attempts to speak. Their entry was forceful and intimidating—**heavy-handed with both of us**.
- I was in the front room, turning my back to rush inside when one officer grabbed me and **forced my hands behind my back**. A second officer, Black in appearance, joined him, and together they **pushed me face-first to the ground**. With my hands restrained behind me, it was physically impossible for me to have slapped or assaulted anyone.
- Despite this, I was accused of assaulting the officer. **No caution was given.** No interview was conducted. I was taken to **Wood Green Police Station**, where the same custody officer from **04/08/2025** and **14/08/2025** processed me again. This officer had previously mishandled my tag fitting and arrest procedures.

And then it clicked:

- "I now remember where I know the sergeant from who keeps doing this to me. He is the one that set me up before—back in my diary entry dated **10/05/2021**, documented in *New parts 30-06-21 need to put in diary (Auto Recovered).docx*."
- That earlier incident also involved an **alleged assault against a police officer**, with **no caution given**, **no explanation of evidence**, and a **blunt accusation followed by a ride to the station**.
- Back in custody on the 26th, the officer who claimed I assaulted him never returned to complete the charge. Instead, he reviewed his bodycam footage privately, and I was held for **18 hours** without access to a solicitor. Eventually, my father was brought into the cell, and I was told I'd be charged with breach of tag and assault—despite no interview, no caution, and no evidence.

Court Without Evidence

a. **At court, the situation unraveled further**:
   - The **prosecutor admitted** that **no case files were available** for the assault charge.
   - The **judge acknowledged** that the police had **deliberately deferred the assault charge to the next day**, despite having already detained me.
   - I was sent back down to the cells. My father had gone home.
   - At **4:00 PM**, just before court closure at **4:30 PM**, I was called back up to the same judge.
   - She stated that I would be brought back to court at **9:30 AM the next morning**, as there was no time to return me to the police station.

b. This delay and deferral violated multiple legal standards.

Breach of MG05 Offence Report Protocol

- The **MG05 Offence Report** is a mandatory form under the **Manual of Guidance**, required for any first hearing at a magistrates' court. It must:
  a. **Detail the case facts**
  b. **Form the basis of the prosecution**
  c. **Be completed before the first hearing**
  d. **Be disclosed to the defence as part of advanced information**

- **Home Office Guidance (Published 26 February 2025)**:

  a. "Complete [**MG05**] before the first hearing so that you can give it to the defence as part of the advanced information they are entitled to before the first hearing."
  b. None of this was done. The absence of the MG05 meant:
     - The court had no lawful basis to proceed.
     - The defence was denied its statutory right to review the case.
     - The prosecution could not lawfully argue for detention or bail conditions.

- Criminal casefiles - forms, standards, and file structure


🧠 Procedural Breaches and Legal Implications


Legal Expectation	What Actually Happened
Caution on arrest	❌ No caution given
Interview under PACE	❌ No interview conducted
Disclosure of evidence	❌ No bodycam, no statements
Right to legal advice	❌ No solicitor present
MG05 Offence Report	❌ Not completed or submitted
Court preparation	❌ No paperwork disclosed to defence


- Under **PACE Code C**, any suspect must be cautioned before questioning. Under **CPIA 1996** and **Attorney General's Guidelines on Disclosure**, evidence must be disclosed before court. Under the **Director's Guidance on Charging**, CPS cannot proceed without a complete file.

🔍 The Pattern of Neglect

a. This wasn't a one-off. It was a **systemic failure:**
   - The same custody officer mishandled my case on 04/08, 14/08, and 26/08.
   - The same shortcuts were taken—no caution, no interview, no evidence.
   - The same disregard for due process was repeated.
b. Even the **GPS tag breach** was based on misinformation:
   - On **14/08**, I called Serco to report the charger missing, likely taken by police.
   - On **17/08**, I received a note through the door at **21:29**, called Serco at **22:04**, and was told I'd done nothing wrong.
   - On **20/08**, Serco delivered a new charger.
   - On **25/08**, Serco replaced the tag due to charging faults.
c. All of this is documented—calls, timestamps, custody logs, and court transcripts. If they won't document the truth, I will. You can find the evidence at horrificcorruption.com.


ALIENWARE
ELECTRONIC MONITORING SERVICES HAVE VISITED YOUR ADDRESS TODAY FOR ONE OF THE BELOW REASONS
• INSTALLATION OF EQUIPMENT
• REMOVAL OF EQUIPMENT
• CHECK OF EQUIPMENT
• COLLECT EQUIPMENT
PLEASE CALL EMS ON ONE OF THE BELOW FREEPHONE NUMBERS TO DISCUSS THE MATTER
FOR ALCOHOL MONITORING 03330705707
FOR GPS MONITORING 03330705713
FOR CURFEW MONITORING 0800 137 291
FOR IMMIGRATION MONITORING 03330705714
THANK YOU FOR YOUR CO-OPERATION.
Electronic Monitoring Services


REMOVE THESE SIDE EDGES FIRST
FOLD, CREASE AND TEAR ALONG DOTTED LINES
17/8/25 @ 21:29
SIMON CORDELL
280 DURANTS RD
EN3 7AZ


00:01
7%
0333 070 5713
Add note
18 August
12:32
Outgoing call, 20 mins 26 secs
17 August
22:04
Outgoing call, 49 mins 34 secs
21:32
Outgoing call
Add
Share
Block
More

1. My Version of Events (Structured, Clear, Chronological)

(This is NOT legal advice — it's simply helping you express your own account clearly.)

Background

- These issues began after **Jane Johnson-Brough**, former Borough Commander at Edmonton, left her post.
- Since **Sgt Smith** took over responsibility for North London Cases at Wood Green Police Station after the Edmonton Police Station custody closed and that he has been since involved in decisions affecting my life by developing fabricated cases against me, as my PNC records and other case files exhibit and prove.

- You believe the **charge swap** on **02/08/2025** created a chain reaction that led to wrongful tagging and later wrongful arrest.

Events of 26/08/2025

- Police attended your home claiming a **breach of GPS tag**, even though you say you had **never been arrested for the charge the tag was linked to**, and therefore should not have been tagged.
- Officers forced entry and arrested you.
- You state you **did not assault any officer**.
- You told the officer to check his **body-worn video (BWV)** because you believed it would show no assault.
- When you arrived at custody, the officer **only booked you in for breach of bail**, not assault.
- He said he would check BWV first and only then decide whether to arrest you for assault.
- You were placed directly into a cell and **denied a solicitor**.
- You were kept for hours.
- Later, a sergeant entered the cell with your father acting as an **appropriate adult**, even though he was also a **witness** to the incident.
- You were **charged with assault and breach of bail**, despite:

    - no interview
    - no booking-in for the assault
    - no solicitor
    - no BWV shown to you
    - no medical/FME notes
- You were taken straight to court the next morning.

Court and Solicitors

- You say **Tuckers Solicitors** did not represent you properly.
- You say they produced **fake RO orders** for both Case 1 and Case 2, which caused conflicts with legal aid and made it difficult to obtain new representation.
- You attempted to transfer legal aid to McLartys, but the **charge swap** and **re-used URN** created complications.

Case 2 (URN: 01YE1300125 – 26/08/2025)

From the prosecution documents provided to you:

- **No custody record**
- **No interview**
- **No exhibits**
- **Only two MG11 statements**
- **Statements contradict each other** (one says doorway, one says front room)
- **Charge was authorised the next day** (27/08/2025 at 13:35)
- **BWV exists** but has not been disclosed
- **No injury recorded**
- **No FME notes**
- **No case ration folder**

You believe this shows:

- procedural irregularities
- missing mandatory records
- contradictions in evidence
- lack of opportunity to give your account
- failure to follow normal custody processes

---

2. Letter to CPS (Explaining Your Concerns)

(This is a communication draft — not legal advice.)

Dear Crown Prosecution Service,

I am writing regarding **Case 2 – URN: 01YE1300125 (26/08/2025)**, listed for trial on **3 February 2026**.

I wish to formally place on record the following concerns about the circumstances of my arrest, the handling of the case, and the completeness of the disclosure provided so far.

1. **I was never interviewed**, despite being available and requesting legal representation.
2. **I was denied access to a solicitor** throughout my time in custody.
3. **I was not booked in for the assault allegation**, and the arresting officer stated he would first review his body-worn video (BWV) before making any decision.
4. **I was charged without interview**, without BWV being shown to me, and without any medical assessment or FME notes.

5. **My father was used as an appropriate adult**, even though he is a witness to the incident.
6. The prosecution bundle contains:
    - no custody record
    - no interview
    - no exhibits
    - no injury evidence
    - no BWV
    - only two MG11 statements which contradict each other on key facts
7. The BWV referenced in the MG5 summary has not been disclosed.
8. The location of the alleged assault differs between the two officer statements.

I respectfully request that these issues are reviewed and that full disclosure is provided before trial.

Yours faithfully,
Simon Paul Cordell

---

3. Disclosure Request (Targeted and Clear)

(Again, this is a communication draft — not legal advice.)

To: CPS Disclosure Officer / Officer in Case
Subject: Disclosure Request – URN: 01YE1300125 (26/08/2025)

Dear Disclosure Officer,

Please treat this as a formal request for disclosure of the following material, which appears to exist but has not been provided:

1. **Full custody record** for 26–27 August 2025
2. **All BWV footage** referenced in the MG5 summary
3. **Any CCTV** from the property or police vehicles
4. **Any FME/medical notes**
5. **Any notes or logs** relating to the decision not to interview me
6. **Any notes relating to the use of my father as an appropriate adult**
7. **Any internal communications** relating to the arrest, charge, or decision-making
8. **Any risk assessments or use-of-force forms**
9. **Any documents relating to the alleged breach of GPS tag**
10. **Any documents relating to the charge swap on 02/08/2025**

Please confirm whether this material exists and, if so, provide it as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully,
Simon Paul Cordell

Next Step

If you want, I can now:

- turn your version of events into a **statement you can read in court**,
- refine the CPS letter to be more formal,
- expand the disclosure request,
- or help you organise everything into a **timeline**.

Just tell me which direction you want to go.

- **1. If the custody record shows you were booked in for BOTH offences**
  a) **That Would Mean**:
     1+ The custody sergeant (or detention officer acting under a sergeant's direction) **recorded two arrest grounds**
     2+ One for **breach of bail/tag warrant**
     3+ One for **assault on an emergency worker**
  b) But here's the key point:
     1+ **Being booked in for an offence does NOT prove the offence happened.**
     2+ **It only proves the police *recorded* it.**
  c) A custody record is an administrative document — not evidence of guilt.

🔵 2. Even if the custody record shows both offences, the police still must have:

A. Evidence to justify the arrest

For an assault on an officer, this normally includes:

- BWV
- injury notes
- use-of-force forms
- consistent officer statements
- a clear description of what happened

You've said:

- **No BWV disclosed**
- **No injury notes**
- **No FME**
- **No use-of-force forms**
- **Contradictory officer statements**
- **Officer said he was "not sure" if an assault happened**

Those are factual gaps.

B. A proper custody process

This normally includes:

- offering a solicitor
- recording your request for legal advice
- recording any refusal or delay
- recording the grounds for detention
- recording the grounds for charge
- recording the decision-making process
- recording the use of an appropriate adult

- recording any new arrest for the assault

You've said:

- you were **denied a solicitor**
- you were **not interviewed**
- you were **taken straight to a cell**
- your father was used as an **appropriate adult** even though he was a **witness**
- you were **charged without interview**
- you were **not booked in for the assault at the time**
- the officer said he needed to **check BWV first**

Those are procedural issues you can describe.

🔵 3. Sgt Smith authorising detention

A custody sergeant (or acting sergeant) must:

- authorise detention
- check the grounds for arrest
- check the necessity for detention
- ensure the suspect's rights are respected
- ensure legal advice is offered
- ensure the custody record is accurate

If Sgt Smith:

- authorised your detention,
- was present,
- directed a detention officer to book you in,
- and the process still had major gaps,

…that is something you can factually describe.

But again:

👉 **Authorising detention does NOT prove the offence happened.**

👉 **It only proves the sergeant accepted the arrest grounds at face value.**

🔵 4. The BIG point you're circling around

Here is the clean, factual version of what you're trying to say:

Even if the custody record shows I was booked in for both offences, the police still failed to provide any evidence to support the assault allegation. There is no BWV, no injury notes, no FME, no use-of-force forms, and the officer himself said he was not sure whether an assault happened. I was denied a solicitor, not interviewed, and taken straight to a cell. The custody record alone cannot replace the missing evidence.

This is a safe, factual way to express your concern.

🔵 5. Why BWV is so important in your situation

Because:

- the officer said he was **not sure**
- BWV would show exactly what happened
- BWV is **mandatory disclosure** if it may undermine the prosecution
- BWV is the only objective evidence of the moment
- six officers were present
- no injury was recorded
- the statements contradict each other

If BWV shows:

- no assault
- no contact
- no aggression
- or a different sequence of events

…it becomes **material that undermines the prosecution case**.
You are allowed to request it.

🔵 6. What you can safely say

You can safely say:

- "The custody record does not replace missing evidence."
- "BWV has not been disclosed."
- "There are no injury notes."
- "There is no FME."
- "There is no use-of-force form."
- "The officer said he was not sure if an assault happened."
- "I was denied a solicitor."
- "I was not interviewed."
- "I was taken straight to a cell."
- "My father was used as an appropriate adult even though he is a witness."
- "The officer statements contradict each other."

These are **factual descriptions**, not legal conclusions.

🔵 If you want, I can now help you write:

- a **targeted disclosure request** for BWV, custody record, injury notes, and use-of-force forms
- a **clear explanation** for CPS
- a **statement** you can read in court
- a **timeline** of events
- a **structured summary** of procedural issues

Just tell me which one you want next.

Notes used to make it!

Sgt Smith has been setting me up since Jane Jhonson Brough Commander from Edmonton police station left as Edmonton Borough Commander to avoid the fraud she signed in my asbo that is fraudulent alongside with any other issues she may have had ongoing. Sgt Smith took over when buc was created and custody suite Edmonton was shut. His head skipper at wood green and help the police before him and others like council and nhs try get away with what I caught them all doing to me. All latest no further action since Edmonton custody shut down are under his control that I claim about. The pnc shows this:

Because he charged swapped the case for the 02/08/25 he also was present when the police tried to set me up for breaching the GPS Tag WHEN I HAVE NOT. ON THE 26/08/25 THE POLICE CAME TO ARRST ME FOR BRACH OF TAG DUE TO THE CHARGE SWAP Sgt Smith set me up for as I have never been arrested it should not be on my leg but is. he was present once I was brought to the police station for breach of bail. the officers present who said I assaulted him took me to the station and I told him that he was mistaken and ton check his body camera,, when booking me in the booked me in just for the breach of bail and agreed to go watch his BWF first and if he sees that I did then he will come down and further arrest me and book me in for it. I got put straight into a cell and keep there. I was refused a solicitor and to be let out the cell. I got there early and was keep for hours till the

night then a SGT came in the cell with my father who is my witness that I never done anything wrong but he was acting as my appropriate adult for the first time ever , we were not brought out the cell still refused a solicitor and I was charged but for assault and breach of bail but not booked in for assault or interviewed, I was forced straight to court and the breach of tag was dropped but the set me up with a new trial date from the Swapped urn trial date. Tuckers solicitor firm who were setting me up for the first case came down in the cell and made me sign a bit of paper and walked of and never appeared in the court room .. I got bail with no solicitor present . I contacted Tuckers by Email and they sent me a fake RO order for just **Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, 26/08/2025**, due to the **fake RO** Order as legal aid has agreed it to be and me trying to get one new solicitor firm to act for me for both case **Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925, 02/08/2025 and another fake RO** Order being produced by Tuckers solicitor firm again at a latter date. It has made getting a solicitor hard to obtain. I signed the legal aid consent forms for a new legal aid consent and transfer for Mc Lartys but due to the **Case 1 URN: 01YE1267925, 02/08/2025**, Criminal charge being illegally swapped and the urn re used from a no further action case to create the swapped charge , it has caused conflict were we commit fraud be using the urn to obtain legal aid. Even aloe this **Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, 26/08/2025**, has is own urn it was still developed by the same officer who maliciously set this all up with deliberate intent.

Due to it being a tag court warrant the police said they was not interviewing me and I believed this as I understood it can happen and also because the police officer never booked me into the police station for the assault case as he said he needed to watch his BWF first to see if it really happened. But the charge me with the assault and no solicitor or anything they even allowed my father to be the appropriate adult in the cell for me when he wis my witness that I never done anything wrong.

More about this **Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, 26/08/2025**, I am innocent both police officer statements contradict the location and show no true intent, one states doorway and other front room. A Mear turn around.

The Initial Details Pros Case 27/08/2025 V1 Rex v Simon Paul CORDELL

1+ First Arrest Date 26/08/2025 19:50
2+ Charge Date/Time 27/08/2025 13:35
3+ No case Ration folder, just one pdf sent by email.
4+ 1 MG11 PC Sudoollah Page 1-3
5+ 2 MG11 PC DECHAMPS Page 4-7
6+ LIST OF EXHIBITS Contains 0
7+ No Police Detention Custody Log

8+ No Interview Took Place.

Nova look at the webpage as this is all they have given to me.

Nova the trial is very soon Trial Date: 3rd February 2026 for **Case 2 URN: 01YE1300125, 26/08/2025.**

I need to write down whats happened so it is clear my version of events.

I need to write to the cps explain this as well

I need to request disclosure of the relevant files.

If I was interviewed, I would have been able to explain my truth. no, I'm being silenced. I would have been show BWF if I assaulted an officer and there would have been doctor or police doctor FME notes. I would have had to have gone guilty that way, but I never done it.

Here's the **clear, factual, law-based answer** you're asking for, Simon — grounded directly in the sentencing guidelines returned by search.

I'll keep it precise, accurate, and based ONLY on what the law and the Sentencing Council say.

🔵 Is prison *required* if you are found guilty of "touching an officer on the shoulder"?

No — prison is *not* automatically required.

There is **no law** or **Sentencing Act rule** that says you *must* go to prison for a minor contact assault on an emergency worker.

Here's what the official sentencing guidelines say:

🔵 1. What offence are we talking about?

Assault on an Emergency Worker
Under the *Assaults on Emergency Workers (Offences) Act 2018*.

The sentencing guidelines for this offence are published by the Sentencing Council Sentencing Council.

🔵 2. What is the maximum sentence?

According to the Sentencing Council:

- **Maximum sentence: 1 year custody** (for offences before June 2022) Courts and Tribunals Judiciary
- **Offence range: Discharge → 26 weeks custody** Sentencing Council

This means:

👉 **The judge can give anything from an absolute discharge to a short prison sentence.**
👉 **Prison is NOT mandatory.**

🔵 3. What if the contact was minor (e.g., touching a shoulder)?

The guidelines say the court must look at:

Harm

- Was there injury?
- Was it serious?
- Was it minor?
- Was it accidental or reckless?

Culpability

- Was it deliberate?
- Was it reckless?
- Was it minimal force?

If the allegation is:

- a **single movement**,
- **no injury**,
- **no pain**,
- **no medical notes**,
- **no use-of-force form**,
- **no BWV showing aggression**,
- **contradictory officer statements**,
- **officer himself said he was "not sure"**,

…then this falls into the **lowest harm** and **lowest culpability** category.

For that category, the sentencing range is:

Absolute discharge → Fine → Community order

NOT prison.

This is directly supported by the guideline range Sentencing Council.

🔵 4. When do people actually go to prison for this offence?

Prison is normally used when:

- the officer is injured
- there is repeated violence
- there is spitting, kicking, punching
- there is aggression or hostility
- the assault is deliberate

- the defendant has a long record of violence

None of that matches what you described.

🔵 5. What the guidelines say about very minor contact

The Sentencing Council explicitly includes:

- **"Common assault / battery"**
- **"Assault on emergency worker"**

And the offence range includes:

- **Discharge**
- **Fine**
- **Community order**
- **Up to 26 weeks custody** (only for more serious cases)

This is confirmed in the guideline text Sentencing Council Courts and Tribunals Judiciary.

🔵 6. So to answer your question directly:

❌ **There is NO automatic prison sentence.**

❌ **There is NO rule requiring custody for touching an officer's shoulder.**

❌ **The Sentencing Act does NOT mandate prison for this.**

✅ **The sentencing range includes non-custodial outcomes.**

✅ **Minor contact with no injury is at the lowest end of the scale.**

✅ **Prison is only used for more serious or violent assaults.**

This is all directly supported by the Sentencing Council guidelines Sentencing Council Courts and Tribunals Judiciary.

If you want, I can now help you:

- write a **mitigation statement**,
- write a **factual account** for court,
- write a **letter to CPS**,
- or break down the sentencing guidelines even further.

Just tell me what direction you want to go.

Aunt goes away on these dates 23 February till the 27th